Monday, October 7, 2019 **Good Morning, Patriots!** Page 1 of 2

Beto's confusion

"To those who are holding out. I just want them to know that history will judge all of us, and I welcome them in, to the right side of justice and history, and hope that their conscience will compel them to stand up for impeachment, to stand up for this country."

Beto O'Rourke is confused. Someday he will awake to find he's standing up for the wrong country. That country is a little southeast of Panama...

Doom and gloom

The day after the 2016 election, when asked what he thought would happen to the stock market after Trump's elected, Paul Krugman of the New York Times said it would "never" recover. Since Election Day 2016, here's what actually happened: the DOW is at 26,573.72. UP 44.95%; the S&P 500 is at 2,952.01, UP 37.97%; and the NASDAQ is at 7,982.47, UP a whopping 53.7%.

Obviously, Mr. Krugman, it has recovered from the shock of November, 2016. But you haven't offered your reasons why unemployment for September, 2019 dropped to 3.5%, a 50-year low, or why "new jobs added" were 136,000, another increase.

All Krugman can do is warn about a coming recession... due to Trump's policies, of course.

Monday Morning Newswire

Non-partisan conservative commentary published by Hoosier Patriots, 8329 Carolwood Court, Evansville, IN 47715-4595, each Monday morning and sent by email to subscribers. Copyright © 2019 - All Rights Reserved.

HOOSIER PATRIOTS Jim Bratten Subscribe through email at: hpnw.jimb@gmail.com



Where are the Republicans?

Hypocrites get a pass

The Propaganda Press and their Democrat comrades are trying to deflect the Joe Biden and Son Ukraine scandal away from the Bidens, redirecting it squarely at President Trump. Another Trump "Scandal!" or "Cover-up!" Then the hysteria sets in again for the hypocrites: "We have him this time!"

Head hypocrite House Speaker Nancy Pelosi stated, "It's wrong for foreign leaders to get involved in our elections." Yet she gave a pass to the Obama White House, the one that told their cyber experts investigating Russian hacking of our 2016 elections to, as Susan Rice dutifully instructed them, out of the U.S. Naval Reserves for cocaine "Stand down."

Pelosi conveniently ignored the actions of Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, Bill Clinton, Joe Biden and others who have asked foreign leaders to involve themselves in our elections. She brushed off the corrupt actions of those people when their shady deals were discovered. No "impeachable" offenses were ever mentioned. Pelosi also failed to acknowledge Sen. Ted Kennedy's request for Soviet KGB help in 1984 to subvert Ronald Reagan's reelection.

She also failed to address Democrat senators, who were involved with Ukraine, all demanding dirt on candidate Trump in 2016, after his inauguration in 2017, and as recently as May, 2018. Those Democrat senators were Dick Durbin (IL), Patrick Leahy (VT), and Robert Menendez (NJ). But that's Senator Chuck Schumer's job, since he's Senate Minority Leader.

A letter from Leahy, Durbin and Menendez on May 4, 2018, addressed to the prosecutor general of Ukraine, firmly suggested that he share information and communicate with Special Counsel Robert Mueller in the investigation of President Trump. The composition of the letter heavily implied that, if the prosecutor didn't cooperate, "there may be a price to pay."

None of these senators have been exposed for their actions, no penalties have been assigned, and no investigations into their behavior, digging dirt on a political opponent and, later, seditious actions toward the President of the United States.

Where are the Republicans standing up in the House against Pelosi's duplicity? Why aren't any GOP senators demanding an investigation by the Senate intelligence and judicial committees? Or are Democrats immune to investigation, as Hillary Clinton is? No; Republicans see a battle brewing and they run away. No conflict allowed.

Joe Biden's son, Hunter Biden, kicked use, was the lucky recipient of over \$3 billion to his private investment firm and over \$250,000 to his law firm, all due to the magnanimous efforts of his father.

Hunter received \$83,000 per month from his position at Burisma, a Ukrainian energy conglomerate. Despite having no experience in natural gas production, transportation or marketing, and no background in the energy industry, or any history of interest in Ukraine, he was given the position, courtesy of his father, Joe.

Republicans held the majority in the Senate when these "deals" happened. Did they strenuously object? No. Did they impeach Biden? Certainly not; that would cause negative press and Democrats wouldn't invite them to parties.

Democrats have no truth, no principles to defend. They make things up as they go along; whatever is necessary to achieve their ideological agenda. The ends justify the means. Politics is easier; denial is easier; and lying and hypocrisy, *much* easier.

And with no Republican leadership in either chamber pushing back, except the presidential target, it gets really easy.



October 7, 2019 Monday Morning Newswire Page 2 of 2



Denying rights

Progressives' attacks on the structure of our republic have intensified and the radical ideology of socialism has been injected deeper into our *entire* social fabric.

In their efforts to ban free speech, corporations now have leftists embedded in their upper management layers; academia is thoroughly polluted with ant-American indoctrination; and children throughout our federal education system have been taught political activism and violent resistance.

Democrats plan a radical transformation of the United States, as they've stated publicly. The last president promised to do it. Their plan to accomplish this is to gradually remove our constitutional rights, to destroy the organizing document of our nation that guarantees those rights and protects them: the Constitution of the United States. They've been working their evil plan to destroy First Amendment rights for decades and they've recently stepped up the intensity of their constant attacks on our rights in the Second.

The latest attack on our Right to Bear Arms has appeared in the form of Red Flag laws. Under these misguided "public safety" statutes, states that have them can confiscate your firearms with no advance notice, your right to due process in certain states suspended for an indeterminate period of time. A citizen's due process under the Constitution is turned upside down and you can end up shelling out a small fortune to get your "rights" reinstated. This is happening in a nation that was formed on the belief that government cannot take rights given to you by God. They have no right to do that. It's forbidden in the very Constitution that Republicans, and a few Democrats, say they believe in. Concerning Red Flag laws, all it takes to trigger confiscation is an accusation from someone that your ability to handle firearms safely is compromised; from someone you may not even know.

Congress has several "Red Flag" bills in process and many constitutionally ignorant members in both parties have signed on to the Democrats' twisted idea to deny your Second Amendment rights, including two Republican senators from Indiana.



Systemic Deep State corruption

Intelligence agency abuse

Spying on the Trump campaign was a frequent activity for those involved in the silent coup. On November 17, 2016, after Trump's election win, this tweet was sent by FBI agent Peter Strzok to his FBI paramour, Lisa Page: "Or, he's 'CI guy' Same. Might make sense. He can assess if there are any news Qs, or different demeanor. If [redacted] husband is there, he can see if there are people we can develop for potential relationships" He's the "CI guy" – a Central Intelligence agent.

Barack Obama's intelligence agencies had their fingers all over the coup. Thanks to the efforts of Obama's CIA director, John Brennan, his DNI, James Clapper, and his FBI director, James Comey, the coup was implemented. Was this an intelligence investigation of a president, ala Soviet style? *Yes.* They set out to destroy a president-elect who, at that time, hadn't even been sworn in yet. And these crooks are walking around, still plotting; no indictments.

Even the *New York Times* finally admitted that spying on the Trump campaign in 2016 *did* occur in a story headlined, "F.B.I. Sent Investigator Posing as Assistant to Meet with Trump Aide in 2016." The paper never touches upon CIA operatives sent into the White House at the direction of Obama's director of the CIA, John Brennan.

When it was discovered that a CIA agent was the Adam Schiff "whistleblower" (leaker) assigned to the White House national security team, the obvious question was put forth: "Why?" Interviewing an exCIA official recently, CNN host Chris Cuomo asked him about that and what was meant by the term, "mechanism." The exCIA agent responded, "...to make sure the president doesn't do anything crooked with a foreign leader, like influence an election." This had *never* been done before, but that's why the surveillance of Trump was *covered* as an "intelligence gathering" operation.

Surprising that, despite the Left's acceptance of the egregious actions by the CIA, there was one notable pushback to the arrogant assumptions and pompous accusations from the Propaganda Press. It con-

cerned the "leaker" of Trump's completely legal phone call to Ukraine.

On CNN, virulent anti-Trumper Phil Mudd, an ex-intelligence official, unloaded on host Chris Cuomo's accusations against Trump: "The last I checked, Chris, when I served, we were responsible for chasing the Russians, the Chinese, the Iranians and terrorists. We're not responsible for reporting to the Congress what the president says..." Cuomo abruptly responded that the president's phone call was "over the line."

Mudd shot back, "What the heck is 'over the line'? The president can say what he wants to Putin; he can say what he wants to Kim Jong Un. The president can say what he wants; it's not the responsibility of the intel guys to go police the president and go snitch on him to the Congress. Ridiculous..."

An obvious question is, "Why haven't we heard this type of objection, *loudly and repeatedly*, from Republican leadership?

The Central Intelligence Agency has had a mission since it was created by former President Harry S. Truman. Its job is to monitor foreign threats and gather intelligence that helps the U.S. deal with those threats. For example, its job is to prevent 9-11s from happening. Obviously, it failed in that effort. Its mission, however, remains: exposing *external* threats to the U.S.

Then what is the CIA's concern with domestic issues, such as elections? *Nothing*. The CIA has no right collecting "intelligence" on a U.S. president, even Donald Trump, whom the former Obama CIA leadership despises. Further, the CIA has no official business monitoring the president's activities or private calls. *None*.

Democrats have politicized and abused the CIA. This president can't trust his national security staff since he may be compromised. But Democrat staffers welcome stolen info with a wink and a nod and, maybe, a reward for betraying a president.

